Publication Draft Local Wyre Plan Statement of Consultation – Appendix 7e

Issues and Options 2015 Consultation – Matters Raised

Question 4 – OBJECTIVES – Do you agree with the Strategic Objectives? Is there anything that should be added, deleted or amended?

ID	Agree	Matters raised
1	Yes/No Yes	No recognition that there is insufficient school places to support the population of Poulton.
7		
-	Yes	Issue of the capacity of the local road network.
15	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
19	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
20	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
23	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
24	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
27	Yes	Before any development takes place there must be proper regard to the views of the residents.
39	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
49	Yes	Agree with the objectives with certain provisos in relation to objectives::
		1 & 2 - Support as long as suitable house developments are providedstarter homes of two/three bedrooms- NOT exec style properties that
		are not affordable to younger/older people.
		3. Tourism should not be ignored to the east with the AONB and Lancaster Canal.
		4. Provision of cycle paths and fitness trails could be expanded through the Borough.
		5. High quality design surely means fitting in with existing properties- sadly not the recent case in Forton developments.
		9. Infrastructure must lead - not lag behind- development. Similarly, allowing several small developments to circumvent this must not be
		allowed. Five developments of twenty houses still equates to one hundred properties.
		12. Rural economy means that they have a basis in agriculture and land use occupations and their service industries. NOT large warehouses
		or jobs that could be located equally as well elsewhere.
59	Yes	Objectives must not destroy the environment. The outlined housing will make this happen.
61	Yes	Should be separate from the Vision
62	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
63	No	No mention to improve A585, assuming developers will cover cost. Taking in to account climate change, the flood network will increase.
64	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
67	No	1) How are your Objectives going to be achieved?
		2) Modifications required on A585 for present traffic let alone further development.
		3) No extra infrastructure for future planned development.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		4) Flood risk will increase.
68	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
69	No	See response to questions 1, 2 and 3.
70	No	Flooding problems at Cleveleys, Thornton and Fleetwood. Small amount of money made available for improvements on A585. These areas have poor access to motorway.
71	No	Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
72	No	Does not address what is required – no transport plan. Climate change not addressed so flood risk.
81	Yes	No additional comments submitted
89	Yes	No additional comments submitted
90	No	See response to question 3. The statements made are too generalised and fail to offer a robust means whereby it can be said that the objective has been achieved. How is that to be measured? The problem is that the statements made are purely qualitative and more quantitative metrics are required. This said, in so far as they go, there is little with which to disagree.
94	No	No additional comments submitted.
96	n/a	Don't disagree with the Objectives. However how these can be reconciled with any of the three development options is very hard to see.
97	No	Integration of climate change, transport and health. The objectives don't ensure that everyone will be able to choose a low carbon lifestyle with energy efficient homes, affordable public transport, extensive motor traffic-free foot- and cycle-paths, and access to varied and accessible recreation.
98	Yes	No additional comments
100	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
103	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
106	No	Objective 4. Add 'with opportunities for active recreation and outdoor sporting activity'. Objective 5. Add 'amenity' between heritage assets, and the character of the locality and surrounding landscapes; Objective 6. Add 'self-build, "live/work" and 'rural small holding' to the list Objective 8. Add 'and do not place undue demands on existing transport infrastructure' at the end of this statement. Objective 9. Replace 'supported by' with 'contingent on' Objective 10. Add 'and amenity' at the end of this statement.
112	Yes	No additional comments submitted.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
113	Yes	But –
		Integration of climate change, transport and health.
		This is an opportunity to join up planning in response to the challenges. These objectives don't go far enough to ensure that everyone will be
		able to choose a low carbon lifestyle with energy efficient homes, affordable public transport, extensive motor traffic-free foot- and cycle-
		paths, and access to varied and accessible recreation (trim trails, playgrounds, etc) as the norm.
		Post-16 Education. There is no mention of additional post-16 education provision in any of the areas – but with specific reference to Garstang and the A6 corridor, surely the Vision and Strategic Objectives should include excellent additional educational provision to meet the (existing and) future needs of post-16 year olds (e.g. a sixth form) within the Garstang area.
114	No	The strategic objectives are largely a reiteration of the vision. They need to have specific targets so that success or failure can be measured
		objectively and those responsible held to account.
		Examples provided, see submission. The example suggest that no further significant development takes place in the FCP.
115	Yes	No additional comments
116	No	Improve existing housing to be a more economically viable proposition. Empty properties should be bought by the council for this purpose.
120	No	Achieving the necessary skill set to drive economic growth and wealth generation will not be achieved if there becomes an oversupply of housing in the borough.
		Even at the past building rate, house prices in the borough have been subdued. If households do not have the confidence that their primary asset, their home, will maintain its value, they will not spend and employers will not invest in new facilities.
		The current approval rate of new housing, let alone the suggested rate beyond the 151/year suggested by the ONS data for the next 18 years may cause the borough to enter and stay in a depression.
131	Yes	Objective 6 – A robust quantification of the need for affordable housing needs to be undertaken prior to approval for development.
136	No	Questions how the objectives will be delivered. Concerned that the plan will encourage more people to move into Wyre. Concerned that children will be packed into overcrowded schools and taught in larger classes. Issue of lack of clarity on the investment in services and facilities required. Issue of extra stress on the A6. No analysis of flood risk including those parts of Garstang near the castle identified for
		housing.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
138	Yes	Strategic objectives are appropriate but we would like to see some of them amended or expanded.
		4 – amend to read "To contribute to the general health and well being of residents through the provision of healthy lifestyle options and high quality, accessible green infrastructure, including woodland and other types of natural greenspace."
		Woodland creation is especially important for green infrastructure provision because of the unique ability of woodland to deliver across a wide range of benefits for instance relating to landscape, biodiversity, quality of life, climate change and the local economy (timber and woodfuel markets).
		5 - add "In particular, irreplaceable semi-natural habitats such as ancient woodland and ancient/veteran trees should be protected from development other than in the most exceptional circumstances".
		Ancient woodland and ancient trees are unique habitats which cannot be replaced once they have been destroyed.
		10 and 11 - would like to see recognition in the supporting text of the document of the particular benefits which trees and woods can provide in combatting flood risk and in mitigation of and adaptation to the impacts of climate change)e.g. by reducing run-off and providing shading for people and crops.
151	No	Does not explain how the vision is to be achieved. Not what objectives should be. Question how the council will protect and enhance the natural environment on the Peninsula. How can accessibility be improved. The objectives differ for different parts of Wyre – this is not dealt with.
152	No	No additional comments submitted.
153	No	Space for new town near Gartsang will encourage industry and near M6 will improve traffic.
154	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
155	No	No additional comments submitted.
156	No	Poulton train station needs more car parking.
157	No	The FCP can't cope with more development which involves more traffic.
161	No	Wyre is not a uniform area – considerable geographical differences between urban and rural/coastal areas. These differences must be
		considered so all areas have equal consideration for the development of a heathy sustainable future.
163	Yes	No additional comments submitted.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
165	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
166	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
167	No	No additional comments submitted.
168	No	More building in Thornton equals road issues and chaos.
172	No	I think it should all be deleted. You are constantly contradicting yourselves, e.g. planning to build along Castle Lane in front of the castle – impact on tourism; you expect to protect the natural environment yet planning to build on it. Destroying Garstang does not make people feel valued and safe.
179	No	There are contradictions between the Objectives and Vision, "borough will retain its local character and distinctiveness". Option 2 is about pristine land becoming ghettos. The Vision statements are undermined by the content of the spatial plans.
184	Yes	Tourism important inland as well as coast. Agriculture needs own objective.
185	No	Plan does not contribute to health and well-being of residents as overcrowding could occur and medical practices oversubscribed.
187	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
189	No	No additional comments submitted.
190	No	Unlikely Objectives will turn in to reality. Should start with new town with appropriate facilities. Build a junction near Garstang off M6.
193	No	Proposals serious impact on flora and fauna and wellbeing to people who live and visit area. If we are not careful this natural asset will be destroyed. All three options risk destroying the very objectives the strategy has highlighted.
195	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
196	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
197	No	No additional comments submitted.
198	No	Not clearly demonstrated how objectives are going to be achieved. The Fleetwood-Thronton AAP has failed to raise the expected £10 million for improving A858. Actual figure raised £6,000. Objective 11 fails to mention flood risk and CO2 emissions. Objectives 8, 9, 10 and 11 make it clear to me no further significant development should be allowed on the Fylde Coast Peninsula.
199	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
202	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
207	No	Fylde Coast Peninsula – Objective 11 - more CO2 emissions due to extra traffic and higher flood risk. Less green space will effect environment.
208	No	How will objectives be sorted? Failure to mention flood risk and CO2 emissions on peninsula.
213	Yes	No additional comments submitted.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
214	No	NW England already over built. This proposal will concrete more land. Only thinking of short term rush to build houses and not considering
		the environment, wildlife and heritage.
215	No	See response 198
216	No	See response 198
219	No	Disapproves of plans for Humblescough Lane, Cartmell Lane. Preference to preserve rural beauty and farming community. Lack of gas facilities to cater for additional housing.
221	No	How the Strategic Objectives will be achieved has not been addressed.
		Flood risks and CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion on routes to and from FCP have not been recognised.
222	No	1) How the Strategic Objectives will be achieved has not been addressed.
		2) Flood risks and CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion on routes to and from FCP have not been recognised.
223	Yes	No additional comments submitted
224	Yes	No additional comments submitted
227	No	The objectives are laudable but potentially contradictory, e.g. protecting the natural environment and heritage at odds with attracting business, increasing the workforce, particularly in the rural east of the borough.
		Will each objective have its own strategy? Which objectives is this particular plan aiming to address? I have concerns because this is not clear, and each objective will have different needs.
		The strategy focuses upon construction, whereas the objectives cover leisure/tourism, well-being - do not see anything within the strategy to
		address those issues. Further, how will a younger workforce be attracted to the area? These issues are not discussed within the plan.
238	Yes	No additional comments submitted
247	Yes	No additional comments submitted
254a	Yes	No additional comments submitted
254b	Yes	No additional comments submitted
258	No	No appreciation of projects of regional significance including:
		- Morecambe bay barrage,
		 new junction on the M6 to serve Garstang and option 2,
		- new station at Garstang

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		These projects stimulate the case for better East-West communication.
260	No	No mention of flood risk or CO2 emissions associated with congestion on routes to and from FCP.
261	No	Most important issue to be addressed is to improve movement across the borough (incl. Foot, bicycle, rail, light rail and tram) before
		increasing dependency on congested roads.
263	Yes	Additions:
		Objective 2 – incl. Reduce commuting out of borough, retain skills and reduce pressure on highway infrastructure.
		Objective 5 – Retain separation between towns and villages and their physical identity and character. Protect natural and built environment.
		Objective 4 – access to good quality social and health services.
		Objective 9 – provision of efficient and accessible public transport system
264	Yes	No additional comments submitted
266	Yes	No additional comments submitted
268	Yes	No additional comments submitted
269	No	Long queues of traffic on Hardhorn Road and Poulton at rush hour and school times. Roads don't have capacity for additional housing.
270	No	Poulton is already suffers from congestion
272	No	Lack of job. Roads will become chaotic.
275	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
276	No	Roads are already inadequate for level of traffic.
282	No	Poulton is already gridlocked with traffic and can't sustain any more.
285	Yes	No additional comments submitted
286	Yes	No additional comments submitted
288	No	There is already a lot of traffic in Poulton – especially at school times.
290		Wording is so general it is unclear whether or not you deal with important strategic issues that face us in the west:
		a. The A585 and significantly improved access to the east
		b. Flood defences and what the likely need for further work will be necessary in the light of climate change
		Do you mention the value of the railway as a strategic opportunity?
296	No	Over development of Fylde and increasingly inadequate transport will not deliver 'healthy lifestyle options'.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		Locating new developments on the urban fringe will not aid in accessibility.
297	No	Does not stress how objectives will be achieved.
		 How will we adjust to climate change, flood risk and CO2 emissions associated with the congestion?
		Infrastructure (incl. schools, GPs) are struggling in Thornton.
		Lack of plan for housing elderly.
		Attracting families does not mean investment will be attracted to the area.
299	Yes	The final Plan should reflect two fundamental principles:
		1 Any decision to develop on greenfield sites should in all instances be the choice of last resort and not made solely on grounds of expediency
		and cost.
		2. All essential infrastructure expansion should be in place BEFORE largescale residential development is commissioned and not programmed
		for implementation further down the line.
303	No	Strategic Objectives fare poorly when considering Option 2. Services are already stretched and the A6 is quickly reaching capacity. Lack of
		local employment in the market town of Garstang and other hamlets on the A6 means the need for housing would have a detrimental effect
		on people's physical and mental wellbeing due to increased burden on the already overstretched educational, medical, travel and other basic
		services.
304	No	Please consider the character of townships. No additional comments submitted.
304	n/a	Far too many of the objectives rely on building on greenbelt. More of an effort should have been made to avoid this. Option 2 is building on
303	11/ a	prime farmland.
306	No	No additional comments submitted.
307	No	No additional comments submitted.
309	No	No additional comments submitted.
310	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
311	No	The only green area between Woodlands Drive and the industrial estate is an area of natural habitats for several endangered species (incl.
511		Lapwings, buzzards and a family of deer).
312	No	The only green area between Woodlands Drive and the industrial estate is an area of natural habitats for several endangered species (incl.
		Lapwings, buzzards and a family of deer).

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
313	No	No additional comments submitted.
314	No	No additional comments submitted.
315	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
316	No	Does not say how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		The Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan intended to fund £10M of improvements to the A585. Complete failure.
		Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt to climate change.
		The scale of the housing numbers shown in 6.5 suggest that there may be another objective that is not being publicly declared.
333	Yes	No additional comments submitted
335	Yeso	Nice objectives - achieving them is a different issue.
347	N	To deliver the added Vision provided in the answer to question 3, a number of strategic objectives must be established to demonstrate how the vision can be achieved.
353	Yes	In certain areas, not in Poulton it is large enough now.
354	Yes	Objectives are laudable but are they achievable?
355	No	Poulton's traffic issues will need addressing, Poulton is already congested and plans will further this problem. Which buildings will be
		demolished to build more roads? Bureaucrats are largely guaranteed free parking, tax payers are not.
358	No	No additional comments submitted.
359	No	Poulton should not be developed.
		• In favour of vision for A6. There is a need for student housing near Lancaster. Lancaster Road is uninhabited and development here would
		be favourable for housing people in neighbouring cities.
362	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
363	Yes	Broad agreement for Strategic Objectives, specifically:
		Facilitating economic growth;
		Protecting and improving the natural and built environment through high quality design, that is respectful to heritage assets, the

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		character of the locality and surrounding landscapes;
		 Locating new development in areas that are accessible, and which have a range of services; and
		 Working with partners to ensure that new development is supported by the necessary provision of, or improvements to, infrastructure to minimise the impact of development and support sustainable communities.
		Development of Wyre is needed to encourage and retain workforces. Housing development should be linked to reduce commuting and carbon emissions.
		Infrastructure should be provided prior to development.
364	Yes	These laudable objectives cannot be achieved by Wyre in isolation.
		A regional approach is required especially for transport infrastructure.
		Lack of consultation with neighbouring authorities.
		In order realise objectives Wyre should work with Blackpool, Fylde, Preston, Lancaster and Lancashire County Council.
		Poor train service.
365	No	Track record of implementing plans for the road access network is poor.
		Local Plan lack clear descriptions of how aims set out will be achieved.
369	No	Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		The Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan intended to fund £10M of improvements to the A585. Complete failure.
		Fails to mention the flood risk as well as CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion on routes to and from FCP.
		Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt to climate change.
385	No	Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		The Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan intended to fund £10M of improvements to the A585.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		Complete failure.
		Fails to mention the flood risk as well as CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion on routes to and from FCP.
		Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt to climate change.
386	Yes	Main objective is to address gridlock in areas where significant development is proposed (incl. Lambs Road, Garstang Road East, Norcross, and Carr Lane in Hambleton)
394	No	The proposed local plan fails to take consideration of the Government policy directive of 6 October 2014 which emphasises how councils should use their local plans to safeguard their local area from urban sprawl and ribbon development along rural roads in order to protect the "green lungs" around towns and cities.
		These guidelines require councils to prioritise the development of brown field sites and to protect greenfield sites and green areas. The strategic objective is based on a flawed assessment of housing need which is already 2 years out of date and takes no account of recent planning approvals.
398	No	 Plan is focused on housing provision and must be challenged as Garstang is a rural community not seeking to expand. It should be community focused as opposed to developer focused. Greater emphasis on developers providing social/starter housing is required. Garstang's lack of resources needs considering
402	Yes	Not convinced these objectives can be met. 5 - potentially conflicting aims 9 - WBC haven't got power to do this.
407	No	Does not state how strategic objectives will be achieved.
408	No	Objectives are an aim with no clear strategy. An objective must be achievable.
409	No	No. 3 should include policies to protect and exploit the natural environment.
		No. 1 should recognise the collective impact of developments on infrastructure.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
410	No	 Long queues on Hardhorn Road and Poulton.
		Additional business would add to this.
413	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
417	No	Track record of implementing plans for the road access network is poor.
		Local Plan lack clear descriptions of how aims set out will be achieved.
418	No	No additional comments submitted.
419	No	Objective 9 should be strengthened and given additional rationale and definition.
		Rural East requires a commitment to maintaining efficiency of the A6 as a strategic route.
		Improvements to infrastructure can only be delivered at a scale larger than the villages to the East. Further development of these villages to
		the East will bring few improvements by way in infrastructure and will carry a heavy cost in terms of character and way of life.
422	n/a	Objectives 4 and 5 need to be strengthened. The green belt needs to be maintained. Country lanes and footpaths out of villages should be preserved.
		The following words need to be defined:
		-sustainable(obj. 9 & 12)
		-diverse and resilient rural economy (obj.12)
		-efficiency (obj.10)
		-"mitigates against the impact on", should be "preserved" (obj.10)
423	n/a	Ref. Point 6,
		Additional housing, in such great numbers, is neither necessary nor desirable.
		More emphasis should be placed on protecting and maintaining the rural space around the peninsular.
424	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
426	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
427	Yes	The surrounding boroughs' plans should be taken into consideration.
431	No	No additional comments submitted.
435		All the objectives are predicated on lots of growth and development. With so much of Wyre in flood risk areas or environmental protection
		areas it is not appropriate to plan for major growth. The objectives should be to consolidate, to reduce private motor traffic and energy

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		waste and to restrict development to areas with good public transport services.
		Local motor traffic can be reduced by improving conditions for cycling and developing cycle tracks out from the main population centres.
		Encouragement of tourism should concentrate on developing environmentally friendly walking and cycling tourism. This should include
		improving and promoting footpaths where access is well served by public transport.
439	No	Does not explain how the strategic objectives will be achieved. The previous transport plan for FCP was an utter failure and to attract new businesses and families something needs to be done.
442	Vee	
443	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
449	No	No additional comments submitted.
457	Yes	Agree with the objectives, particularly the need to protect the natural environment and heritage assets. Proposed developments in some areas around Garstang are not at all consistent with these objectives.
466	No	Important not just to focus on the minimisation of environmental impacts, it is important to have some restorative environmental goals.
472	Yes	The necessity to facilitate economic growth should be done in a way which reflects the heritage and character of the area being developed, and developments must have the infrastructure in place prior to any housing increases.
474	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
477	No	Previous sustainable transport strategy for Fleetwood/Thornton was a failure.
		• To mitigate and adapt to climate change - No mention of flood risk and increased CO ₂ emission associated with increased traffic
		congestion.
		Key objectives: accessibility, infrastructure, environmental footprint, adaptations to climate change – lack of improvement in these
		areas should result in no significant development taking on place on FCP.
483	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
484	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
485	No	No additional comments submitted.
487	No	Reconsider the number of cars on the roads, particularly in the Fylde Coast Peninsular urban areas.
488	No	It doesn't state how Strategic Objectives can be achieved.
		To mitigate and adapt to climate change, but no mention of the flood risk and extra CO2 emissions caused by congestion on routes to and

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		from the FCP.
489	No	Environmental footprint, accessibility and infrastructure are key objectives. To achieve all these would suggest no further significant development ion FCP
491	No	There is no mention of flood risk or emissions from traffic on the routes to and from the FCP.
492	No	There is no mention of flood risk or emissions from traffic on the routes to and from the FCP.
498	No	Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		The Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan intended to fund £10M of improvements to the A585. Complete failure.
		Fails to mention the flood risk as well as CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion on routes to and from FCP.
509	No	It does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
515	No	IO_80 is not in proportion to the population to the current village. The current population is approx. 270 people, the area suggested for development could house that amount 10 fold. High quality and affordable housing are not one and the same, and somewhat impossible to achieve.
517	No	No additional comments submitted.
518	No	It does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		The sustainable Transport Strategy has not been able to fund the £10,000,000 worth of improvements to the A585 to mitigate the impact of development. Therefore the key objectives of accessibility, infrastructure, environmental footprint (CO2 emissions) and adapting to climate change (flood risk) will not be achievable unless no further development takes place in the FCP.
519	No	The strategic objectives are largely a reiteration of the vision. They need to have specific targets so that success or failure can be measured objectively and those responsible held to account. [Examples are provided]
520	No	No additional comments submitted.
524	No	Does not state how the strategic objectives will be achieved. Is major investment funding available to improve transport network to service the proposals?
525	No	People don't understand the objectives. Do not appear to be protecting the environment.
531	No	Does not set out how the objectives are to be achieved.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		Does not reflect on the impact of climate change - increased flood risk, poorer air quality, impacts on health and wellbeing
		Loss of recreational / greenspace land.
		What employment is going to be attracted to the area and how.
		Number of houses proposed to be built greatly exceeds the ONS projected population estimates. Why so many houses?
		Have the number of empty unoccupied houses been taken into account?
549	n/a	Some of the strategic objectives are in conflict with the options in this consultation. The proposals do not assist in fulfilling objectives 10 and
		11, but conflict with them, given the options are to develop on land that is of landscape importance and at risk of flooding.
		A community does not need to get larger, a sustainable community can stay the same size and become more cohesive and efficient.
551	No	 Rural villages are healthy places to live; I wish to live in a peaceful rural environment without any additional pollution.
		 Important to protect and improve the natural environment for future generations and nature (birds, bees etc.)
		 Open spaces create a feeling of wellbeing to people of all ages, and this should continue.
		 Forton's farm land should be retained as this also creates jobs for rural young people studying agricultural skills.
553	No	9. "The borough will remain largely rural in character with villages set in attractive open countryside" – the plans for Forton will desecrate the
		village setting of attractive open countryside.
557	No	No mention of flood risk.
		No firm plan of how many of these objectives will achieved particularly in terms of accessibility and the growth of industry (except in
		construction for housing)
563	No	There is no need for any more houses in Wyre.
565	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
567	No	There is no indication as to how the objectives will be achieved.
568	No	How the Strategic Objectives will be achieved is not addressed.
		Previously, the Sustainable Transport Action Plan was formulated to deal with one of its fundamental objectives. It has been a failure and not
		funded £10million of A585 improvements.
		To mitigate and adapt to climate change, CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion, and flood risk, should be mentioned.
569	No	How will the objectives be met?

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		Plans to improve the A585 have failed in the past.
		Traffic pollution and flood risk should also be mentioned.
570	No	Population predictions do not require so many houses.
571	No	No comment
572	No	See 687
572_1	No	See 687
573	Yes	No comment
574	Yes	Houses are actively encouraged to recycle but businesses are not, this needs to be addressed as a matter of urgency. A reduction in business rates for those who actively recycle and promote recycling could help regenerate local business. Improved transport would aid the local economy and the environment.
		The proportion of privately rented accommodation needs to be reduced significantly.
575	No	How the Strategic Objectives will be achieved is not addressed.
		Previously, the Sustainable Transport Action Plan was formulated to deal with one of its fundamental objectives. It has been a failure and not funded £10million of A585 improvements.
		To mitigate and adapt to climate change, CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion, and flood risk, should be mentioned.
576	Yes	Employment opportunities for young professionals must be created to retain younger population.
577	Yes	No comment
578	No	Track record of implementing plans for the road access network is poor.
		Local Plan lack clear descriptions of how aims set out will be achieved.
578_1	No	Track record of implementing plans for the road access network is poor.
		Local Plan lack clear descriptions of how aims set out will be achieved.
579	Yes	No comment
580	No	No additional comments submitted.
580_1	No	No additional comments submitted.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
581	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
582	Yes	Points 3, 4 and 5 are facilitated through maintaining the greenbelt. Point 10 – Development in the area exacerbates existing problems with pollution and flood risk.
582 1	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
583	No	How the Strategic Objectives will be achieved is not addressed. Previously, the Sustainable Transport Action Plan was formulated to deal with one of its fundamental objectives. It has been a failure and not funded £10million of A585 improvements. To mitigate and adapt to climate change, CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion, and flood risk, should be mentioned.
584	No	How the Strategic Objectives will be achieved is not addressed. Previously, the Sustainable Transport Action Plan was formulated to deal with one of its fundamental objectives. It has been a failure and not funded £10million of A585 improvements. To mitigate and adapt to climate change, CO2 emissions associated with traffic congestion, and flood risk, should be mentioned.
585	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
586	Yes	Yes, but not creating massive development.
587	Yes	Yes – subject to answer to questions 1 and 2.
590	Yes	It is the ability of the planning options to meet the strategic objectives that are in question.
591	Yes	It is the ability of the planning options to meet those objectives that are in question.
592	Yes	No comment
593	Yes	Starter homes, rather than affordable housing are essential. Families who want to live and work in the area should be enthused and feel that it is an achievable goal.
595	Yes	Supports the objectives.
600	n/a	Support Objective 4 and would like to see this amended to "accessible <i>blue</i> green infrastructure." Support Objective 10, and propose the inclusion of "flood risk, <i>where possible enhances the environment</i> , and mitigates"
601	Yes	Concerned that many of the objectives cannot be solely achieved by Wyre but are dependent on third parties.
603	Yes	No additional comments submitted
606	Yes	No additional comments submitted
608	Yes	General agreement with the strategic objectives, noting the following.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		Strategic objective 6 – use the phrase "older people" rather than "the elderly"
		Para 6.4/6.5 – Use updated housing figures (see comments on para. 2.11 above.)
		Para 6.8 - Additional housing development brings increasing demand for goods and services – approved planning applications in and around
		Garstang place additional demand on existing services and infrastructure, including the road network. Limited capacity for Garstang town
		centre may push demand elsewhere increasing traffic generation.
609	Yes	No additional comments submitted
613	Yes	No additional comments submitted
615	Yes	Lack of recognition of caravan or park homes sites in Wyre. May reflect a need for social ("Affordable") housing. A specially focused survey may be required.
632	No	The document does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
633	No	The document does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
635 &	No	No additional comments submitted
635b		
640	Yes	No additional comments submitted
641	Yes	Objectives supported conditional on:
		Strategic objective 5 should include an objective of preserving as much as possible of the rural environment and character of Wyre.
		Strategic objective 10 needs to be clearer about its approach to flood management. Is it seeking to avoid any development unless the flood
		risk can be managed/removed or is it saying the flood risk is accepted in certain circumstances (and if so what are these)?
643	No	 Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		 Failure to upgrade A585 via monies from development within the Fleetwood-Thornton Area Action Plan.
		 Fails to mention flood risk and CO2 emissions and congestion on routes to and from the Peninsula.
		• Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt
		to climate change.
644	No	 Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		 Failure to upgrade A585 via monies from development within the Fleetwood-Thornton Area Action Plan.
		 Fails to mention flood risk and CO2 emissions and congestion on routes to and from the Peninsula.
		• Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		to climate change.
645	No	 Failure to upgrade A585 via monies from development within the Fleetwood-Thornton Area Action Plan.
		 Flood risk on routes to and from the Peninsula.
		• Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt to climate change.
646	Yes	Greater emphasis on agriculture required.
654	No	• Rural villages will lose their character.
		 Schools will have to increase in building size and capacity.
660	No	 Greater detail on public transport and cycle paths needed.
		 Plans should be included for the use of renewable energy sources to power the new houses/development.
		• Environmental concerns are not prioritised highly enough, and need to be addressed far more seriously. The economy depends upon the natural environment, not the other way round.
		 Short sighted Vision - crucial that the new development does not simply push the problem of making our lives sustainable onto future generations.
		 No mention of the Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Act 2015. Opportunity to lead the way on self-build.
667	Yes	• Adequate sports facilities will be necessary in order to support the health and well-being of residents – Strategic Objective (4).
		 Improved public transport and internet/broadband services will be essential to meet objectives 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 12.
		• Substantial development in the A6 corridor/Garstang, requires active consideration to ensuring the rural infrastructure and supporting bodies are adequately funded to enable them to provide for this increase in the population.
669	No	• Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		 Failure to upgrade A585 via monies from development within the Fleetwood-Thornton Area Action Plan.
		 Fails to mention flood risk and CO2 emissions and congestion on routes to and from the Peninsula.
		• Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt to climate change.
670	No	 Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		 Failure to upgrade A585 via monies from development within the Fleetwood-Thornton Area Action Plan.
		 Fails to mention flood risk and CO2 emissions and congestion on routes to and from the Peninsula.
		• Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		to climate change.
673	No	Decline of local shops.
		 Insufficient health infrastructure – no room for expansion with limited car parking.
		Limited spaces in local schools.
678	No	 Does not say how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		 The Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan intended to fund £10M of improvements to the A585. Complete failure.
679	No	• Does not say how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		• The Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan intended to fund £10M of improvements to the A585. Complete failure.
680	No	• Failure to upgrade A585 via monies from development within the Fleetwood-Thornton Area Action Plan.
		 Flood risk on routes to and from the Peninsula.
		• Significant development in the Peninsula conflicts with objectives 8. Accessibility, 9. Infrastructure. 10. Environmental footprint. 11. Adapt to climate change.
687	No	The objectives need to be much more specific and detailed and not just a repeat of the Vision. Housing needs – including those resulting from
		an aging population - will vary across the borough and need different solutions.
689	No	 Clarity needed on how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
		• Failure of the Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan to deliver £10m of improvements to the A585.
690	No	 Clarity needed on how the strategic objectives will be achieved
		• Failure of the Sustainable Transport Strategy of the Fleetwood Thornton Area Action plan to deliver £10m of improvements to the A585.
693	n/a	All twelve objectives contain noble ambitions although some carry more weight than others either in either borough terms or in individual
		cases.
		If Objective 8 was taken literally it would be a bar to development in Winmarleigh, Nateby or any rural settlement.
		Need to admit that the reason we are looking to build in rural settlements is to take pressure off Garstang and perhaps to keep open the school.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		To sustain rural communities and ensure a diverse and resilient economy is laudable but a pipe dream - most people simply want to live
		somewhere where it is quiet and peaceful and local industry other than farming is the last thing they want.
694	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
698	No	 Insufficiently clearly defined apart from need to build more houses.
		• Not clear that employment drives demand in this area – see ONS2015 and the Wyre Employment Land and Commercial Leisure Study.
699	No	No evidence that large scale development is needed.
700	No	Overly housing focused. Not enough to encourage new businesses in to the area.
701	Yes	No additional comments submitted
702	No	Need to attract more businesses into the county – tax breaks for creative industries.
703	No	No additional comments submitted
704	No	No additional comments submitted
705	Yes	Agree with strategic objectives but:
		 Concerned about impact on property values if too many homes built too quickly.
		 Development on green field sites should be minimised and development of brownfield sites maximised when necessary.
706	No	No additional comments submitted.
707	No	 No jobs for young people but attracting them will create a commuter belt.
		• Inadequate roads.
		Need to attract businesses.
		 Developments should include parks and green spaces and health services.
		• NHS dentist needed.
708	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
709	No	No additional comments submitted.
710	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
711	No	No additional comments submitted.
712	No	See previous answer.
713	No	See previous answer.
714	Yes	 Improved public transport – would reduce environmental impact of development.
		 Improved road network, especially major routes.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		 No mention of traffic problems moving north on the A6 out of the area.
715	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
717	n/a	Need to mention supporting, developing and protecting agriculture.
721	No	 Not clear what the strategic objectives are.
		 No strategy for increasing business/employment.
722	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
724	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
725	No	No additional comments submitted.
726	No	No additional comments submitted.
727	No	Visitor offer focused on the Fylde Coast to the detriment of the rural east of the borough. Garstang leisure centre promised and not
		delivered.
729	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
731	No	No additional comments submitted.
732	Yes	Para. 5.3.5 – should mention the seven conservation areas in the context of heritage and landscape.
733	No	Appears not to include the development of transport links and infrastructure.
735	No	Objectives are not believable.
738	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
739	No	No additional comments submitted.
740	Yes	Add to objective 8 – "and that contain the potential for significant improvements to the local infrastructure, particularly the strategic road
		and rail networks".
741	Yes	Add to objective 8 – "and that contain the potential for significant improvements to the local infrastructure, particularly the strategic road
		and rail networks".
742	No	No additional comments submitted.
743	No	No additional comments submitted.
744	No	No additional comments submitted.
745	No	Does not address how the strategic objectives will be achieved.
746	No	No additional comments submitted.
748	Yes	No additional comments submitted.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
751	No	Proposed number of houses does not reflect ONS population projections.
752	No	Does not state how the objectives are to be achieved. Proposed number of houses does not reflect ONS population projections.
753	No	Does not state how the objectives are to be achieved. Proposed number of houses does not reflect ONS population projections.
755	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
756	No	No additional comments submitted.
758	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
759	No	No additional comments submitted.
760	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
761	Yes	Objective has covered the main points.
762	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
763	Yes	Not convinced that the objectives are attainable.
782	n/a	Objective 3 - Tourism – needs to specifically mention rural Wyre.
		Objective 4 - Explain what "accessible green infrastructure" really means.
		Objective 7 – need to include "village".
		Objective 9 – agree but only if acted upon.
783	No	Does not address the strategic objective.
		ONS predict population increase of 6,000 by 2013 = 2-3,000 houses therefore query why 9,000 needed.
		Flood risk will increase.
784	Yes	Not a lack of housing in Thornton. Areas around A6 more appealing to commuters. Thornton full and lacking in natural social spaces.
785	Yes	Not a lack of housing.
	(partly)	Possibilities along the A6 corridor – Garstang – without detriment to the existing population.
		Thornton suffering from over population.
786	No	 Not clear how improvements to the A585 will be funded.
		 Flood risk and CO2 increase owing to increased commuter traffic not mentioned.
787	No	 Not clear how improvements to the A585 will be funded.
		 Flood risk and CO2 increase owing to increased commuter traffic not mentioned.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
788	No	• Not clear how improvements to the A585 will be funded.
		 Flood risk and CO2 increase owing to increased commuter traffic not mentioned.
792	No	Regarding Winmarleigh – (IO_80) not compatible with the objective to protect and improve the natural and built environment. The village
		will be completely swamped by the proposal.
793	n/a	Some objectives, particularly 10 and 11, are in conflict with the options.
		Misconception that a community needs to become larger to be sustainable.
795	Yes	Should develop brownfield sites before greenfields.
796	Yes	Building on green paces is detrimental to existing communities.
806	No	Need to build on brownfield land.
809	Yes	Objective has covered the main points.
812	No	No additional comments submitted.
813	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
814	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
815	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
817	No	Needs of each community not individually addressed.
818	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
819	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
820	n/a	No additional comments submitted.
821	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
822	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
823	Yes	Erosion of health and well-being due to destruction of green infrastructure. Inability to afford new housing. Affordable housing must be
		interspersed with other housing to avoid ghettos.
824	Yes	Need high quality housing providing choice for all communities. The borough's tourism and recreation potential must be fully developed.
		Fleetwood's potential to become a thriving port town must be fully addressed. Garstang must prosper as a thriving market town providing
		services and employment whilst retaining its attractive riverside and countryside.
826	n/a	No need for affordable housing in Garstang – plenty of houses for sale already.
827	No	No additional comments submitted.
828	Yes	No additional comments submitted.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
829	No	Need to be more encompassing of needs of young families and elderly. Strategic objectives are no more than banal tick boxes – no
		substance.
830	Yes	Continuous conurbation along the A6 should be avoided. Intermittent nature of greenery and buildings that is its appeal. Garstang could
		become an annex to Preston.
831	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
832	No	No additional comments submitted.
833	No	Question whether Fleetwood will be a thriving town. Question how Fleetwood Port will be an integral part of the economy when the docks
		are no longer used.
834	Yes	Question – what is affordable housing in Garstang?
835	No	No additional comments submitted.
836	No	Already an oversupply of houses that cannot sell.
837	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
838	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
840	No	An objective should be timed and measurable. Wording is woolly.
841	Yes	Employment important – ensure younger people are attracted to ensure that carers are available to cope with an ageing population.
843	Yes	Supports the mention of affordable housing in the strategic objectives. Would like to see a focus on affordable housing before focusing on other type of housing.
844	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
845	n/a	Impact of more development on commuting into/out of Gatstang – infrastructure will not cope.
846	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
848	Yes	Need detail on how success of objectives will be measured and monitored.
854	n/a	Not realistic. Concerns over increased population and associated road congestion.
863	No	Does not address how strategic objectives will be achieved.
		Objectives 8-11 will only be achieved by avoiding significant development on FCP.
		Further development of Little Thornton and Stanah will create additional flood risk.
		Strategic Objectives should include a need to protect and enhance biodiversity, and greenbelt. More greenbelt should be created.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
865	Yes	Strategic objectives should include a reference to securing spatial distribution of new development around the Borough which is 'balanced
		and will strengthen sustainable communities including all main towns'.
900	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
901	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
902	Yes	No additional comments submitted.
903	Yes	In favour of the vision. Delivery will be difficult. It will be challenging to work with other authorities and agencies.
904	n/a	Strategic objectives – bathing water quality should be included in objective 10. More detail on monitoring indicators and targets would be useful.
905	No	No additional comments submitted.
908	No	Further urbanisation and large scale housing development will destroy the character and attraction of the Wyre and significantly reduce the quality of life here.
909	Yes	Emphasis should be to centralise services (incl. schools, education, doctors) and locate houses nearby. Small rural locations (Option 3) cannot sustain this without additional infrastructure which small numbers of additional housing would not support.
962	Yes	Enlarge objective 12 to place a greater emphasis on agricultural and horticultural businesses – the emphasis on local produce should be encouraged – will make the best use of land and encourage sustainability.
964	Yes	Para. 6 - Proportionate rural housing should be added.
		The Local Plan is overdue and there is a feeling that this has been to the developer's advantage and the community's disadvantage.
974	n/a	Objective 2 – Existing businesses need to be supported in order to contribute to meeting this objective.
		Objective 3 – The objective is supported but is at odds with a vision which focuses on a visitor economy. Clarification as to how a modern tourist economy will be delivered is required.
980	Yes	Would appreciate feedback on Local Plan progress after it has been adopted. Questions whether or not there are plans to engage with younger people.
981	Yes	Objectives broadly supported particularly in respect of providing a range of new, high quality housing to meet the borough's needs.
985	Yes	Strong objectives.
989	Yes	General support for the strategic objectives.

ID	Agree Yes/No	Matters raised
		Suggests additional text (underlined) for objective 6:
		To provide a range and choice of new, high quality housing to meet the <u>full</u> needs of all sections of Wyre's community, including affordable
		housing and housing for the elderly.
995	n/a	Supports the objectives but seeks an amendment to objective 7 by the addition of "and retail facilities" after "broad range of services".
996	Yes	The provision of adequate school places should be included as a strategic objective.
		"Growth" should instead be referred to as "Sustainable growth".
		Objective 5 should reflect the need to set a strategic approach to the protection and improvement of the natural environment and as such
		plan positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity.
		Focus on economic growth and recognition of the strategic site at Hillhouse, including the importance of the chemicals, fish processing and
		energy sectors in Wyre is welcomed.
1,002	Yes	Specific support for objectives 2, 5, 8 and 9.
		Housing development should be linked to locations of economic growth to reduce commuting. Development in rural areas should be
		proportionate. Infrastructure should be in place before development takes place.
1,005	Yes	Strategic Objective #6 should refer to the need for and benefits of facilitating more larger executive-type / aspirational housing into the
		borough in order to attract more inward investment/economic development, and thereby reduce out-commuting to Preston and other
		centres outside the borough.
1,012	Yes	See Q2 above.
1,013	Yes	General support for the objectives particularly 8 and 9.
1,015	No	Objectives should be directed towards making more out of locational advantages offered by the district by securing new infrastructure that
		will allow development to take place in areas such as the A6 corridor.
		Objective 1 should be reworded to read "To make the most of the borough's full potential by significantly boosting the supply of new housing
		and promoting economic development."

ID	Agree	Matters raised
	Yes/No	
		Objective 2 should be re-worded to read ""To make the most of the borough's full potential by supporting and delivering new infrastructure and the upgrade of existing infrastructure to facilitate planned growth in key parts of the borough."
1,021	n/a	Agree with the strategic objectives. However objective 12 is a broad statement. Nateby is a sustainable farming community. Important that
		the rural economy is protected and supported. Development sites at Nateby are in agricultural use.
1,022	Yes	Should refer to first time buyers and young families and issues of lack of affordability and supply. Need to address decline in younger
		population by ensuring an adequate supply of suitable housing and employment.
1,025	n/a	Hope the objectives can be achieved when planning for major developments.
1,026	No	• Government figures show that the borough's population will grow to 6,000 by 2031. Therefore a surplus of housing.
		 Housing required for younger and older people – not 5 bed properties.
		 No thought given to flood risk.
1,027	No	No additional comments submitted.
1,033	Yes	The objectives have covered the main points.
1,034	Yes	Strong objectives.